

ENGR 340 Lab 13—Extra Credit* Assignment

Criterion	Poor, 0/10	Excellent, 10/10	Weighting for Presentation Grade	Points awarded
Problem Statement	no mention of problem, why it's important, or what success will look like	clear articulation of problem, its relevance, and what success will look like	1	
Site Description	no discussion of the site	brief but clear description of the site, and why it was chosen.	5	
Data	no data and no explanation of data	Well formatted plot of PM2.5 as a function of time. Articulation of why data looks like it does?	5	
Summary	No wrap up or take home message. No recommendation for next steps or limitations.	Clear take-home message, description of next steps and limitations.	5	
Background information on low cost sensors	No information on use of low-cost sensors	Brief but thorough review of literature that describes how low-cost sensors are being used, with cited references	2	
organization	no clear structure to the presentation or report, illogical sequence of topics	well planned presentation or report that has a clear and logical structure	1	
presentation delivery	no one in the audience hears the presentation, the presenters is too fast or slow, and the presenters make no eye contact with the audience	the whole audience hears the presentation loud and clear, the presentation follows a reasonable pace, presenters make eye contact and are respectful	1	

*A maximum of 20 points will be added to your overall lab grade, which is worth 200 points (out of 1000 points total).